

Structural Analysis of major Formula Student racecar components at TU Wien Racing: Drivetrain, Wishbone, Sandwich Structure

### **Altair Student Webinar Series**

**Speaker Profile** 

- Studying Mechanical Engineering, Vienna University of Technology
- 2018 2021: Design Engineer at Watt Drive Antriebstechnik
- 2018 today: Member of TU Wien Racing
  - Chassis & Composite Department
  - Implicit and Explicit Simulations
  - Optistruct, Radioss and Inspire
  - Current project: Crashsimulation



Philipp Link

Member of Composites – TU Wien Racing

E-Mail: <a href="mailto:philipp.link@racing.tuwien.ac.at">philipp.link@racing.tuwien.ac.at</a> LinkedIn: <a href="mailto:linkedin.com/in/philipp-link-5ba81619a">linkedin.com/in/philipp-link-5ba81619a</a>



## **TU Wien Racing**





From tubular steel frame to carbon monocoque

90 Team members

ELECTRIC POWER For more than seven years we have been developing electric vehicles THE FUTURE From all-wheel drive to driverless, we never get bored. Guaranteed!



EDGE Mk I





## Philosophy









## **Altair Software**

VIENNA FORMULA TEAM







## **Optistruct**









## **Drivetrain assembly analysis**

- Using Altair Hyperworks meshing tools for solid mesh generation and mesh optimization
- Contact analysis setup
- Non-linear pretension analysis and using sub-load cases



=\_\_\_\_





### **Altair Student Webinar Series**

### Speaker Profile

Studying mechanical engineering at Vienna University of Technology

- 2018-2019: Team member at TUW Racing
- 2019-2020: Chief Technology Officer
- 2020-today: Head of electric machines, Member of Suspension

Development of Motor, Drivetrain and Wheel assembly



Rudolph Ring ember of Suspension – Head of electric machines TUW Racing



## What for?



Computation of stress and displacement during different loadcases enables:

- Stiffness evaluation (Camber/Toe gain etc.)
- Opportunities for weight reduction
- Displacement of gearing components directly affects gear meshing, reducing efficiency and lifetime
- Other





### **CAD Model Overview**







Tire forces applied at contact patch Fy: +2000N, Fz: +1000N





## **CAD Model Overview**





- Contact angle of the angular contact bearings will be represented in the FE-model using RBE2-elements
- Tangential and radial force components, applied to the pinshafts
- Rim and tire will not be modelled, force will be applied at contact patch center over RBE3-elements
- Constraints at the contact angle center points and contact patch center, fully constraining the model





## **CAD Model Simplification**





For better mesh quality and avoiding small mesh sizes, the initial model is simplified in non-critical areas, removing fillets, chamfers and other geometry





## **Meshing overview**





- 2D support meshes (Blue) were used for mesh refinement around critical geometry and generally better mesh control when using 3D-tetrameshes
- Using hexa-elements wherever possible for simpler geometry (solid mappable geometry, rotational symmetric etc.)
- Usual rules for discretisation apply







### **Solid Mesh optimization tool**



File Edit View HyperMesh Assembly Geometry Mesh Elements Morph Connectors Model Valida	Analyze Design Space Optimize Design Explorer Post Certification Report Aerospace Custom 🔶	
🗞 🕵 🞄 🕼 🎯 🌮 🗦 🦻	s 🐝 🎉 📕 👭 📕 🖬 🕼 🖉 😿 🜹	
Files Measure Move Masses Springs Gaps Normals Auto Qua	/ Edit Elements Replicate Refine Coarsen Detach Imprint/Extend Fuse Hole/Gap Fill Map Box Trim Thickness	
Home 0D 🔻	Edit 💌	
Home OD * Mode See Entr. Solv Utal Mask. Search Config (D'Enabled * Mach Config (D'E	First Second order elements      Industry      Tertra:    Second order elements      Imput selection:    Imput selection:      Tertra:    Tertra:      Aspect ratio    Imput selection:      Tertra:    Tertra:      Aspect ratio    Imput selection:      Yearn selection:    Imput selection:      Yearn ratio:    Imput selection:      Yearn ratio:	
	Fix Reject Close	
Name Value		

### Used quality metrics

- Aspect ratio < 3
- Jacobian > 0.6
- Tetra collapse > 0.15

• Skew < 60°





## **Contact definition workflow**







## **Contact definition workflow**



 Human-readable element-set naming convention greatly improves overview, especially on more complex models with higher number of element sets and contacts

oarch	Sots				
	Name	ID 💽	Include	Туре	
6	contact_set_hub/carrier	1	C	0 Elements	
i.	contact_set_hub/pinshaft	2	C	0 Elements	
Ē.	contact_set_hub/hollow_pin	3	C	0 Elements	
6	contact_set_carrier/hub	4	C	0 Elements	
6	contact_set_carrier/pinshaft	5	C	0 Elements	
Ь	contact_set_carrier/hollow_pin	6	C	0 Elements	
6	contact_set_pinshaft/carrier	7	C	0 Elements	
6	contact_set_pinshaft/hub	8	C	0 Elements	
6	contact_set_hollow_pin/hub	9	C	0 Elements	
h	contact_set_hollow_pin/carrier	10	C	0 Elements	

• Example for naming convention:

contact\_set[first part, selected elements]/[second part]



Searc	h Groups			Q. ¥
	Name	ID 💽	Include	
à	contact_pinshaft/	hub 1	0	
R	contact_pinshaft/	carrier 2	0	
Í.	contact_carrier/hu	ıb 3	0	
ñ.	contact_hollow_p	in/hub 4	0	
Ś	contact_holliw_pir	n/carrier 5	0	
				*
Nam	e	Value		
S	olver Keyword:	CONTACT		
N	ame:	contact_pinshaft	hub	
IC	D:	1		
C	olor:			
In	nclude:	[Master Model]		*
C	ard Image:	CONTACT	*	
U	ser Comments:	Hide In Menu/Ex	port	*
	roperty Option:	Property Type		*
	TYPE:	STICK		*
⊧ S	econdary Entity IDs:		(8) contact_set_pinshaft/	hub
⊧ M	lain Entity IDs:		(2) contact_set_hub/pins	haft
м	IORIENT:		~~	*
S	RCHDIS:			
- A	djust Option:	String Value		*
	ADJUST:			*
C	LEARANCE:			
D	ISCRET:			*
TI	RACK:			~
P	SURF:			
C	OHE:			



## **Contact definition workflow**





Verifying contact group using "Isolate"





## **Pretension definition workflow**







\* 1D-elements like CROD, CBAR, CBEAM are selectable. Element crosssection has to be defined in the according properties



## **Pretension definition workflow**









## **Pretension definition workflow**



Name Value SUBCASE Solver Keyword: Name: loadstep external ID: 2 [Master Model] Include w Hide In Menu/Export ¥ User Comments: Subcase Definition Non-linear static · Analysis type: 🔏 (3) constraints ▶ SPC: 👍 (2) load\_external ▶ LOAD: ▶ NLPARM: (1) NLPARAM NLPARM(L Unspecified> Unspecified> SUPORT1 <Unspecified> DEFORM Unspecified> PRETENSI. Unspecified> MPC: (1) loadstep\_pretension ▶ STATSUB (. (2) NLADAPT ▶ NLADAPT: (3) NLOUT ▶ NLOUT: CNTSTB: Unspecified> Unspecified> DLOAD: 🔏 <Unspecified> MOTNJG: /a <Unspecified> LOADJG: 🔏 <Unspecified> VISCO: Conspecified NSM: Unspecified> NLPRINT: Unspecified> NLENRG:



Under

"loadstep\_external",

select SPC, LOAD,

and under STATSUB

select

"loadstep\_pretens"



### **Altair Student Webinar Series**

**Speaker Profile** 

- Studying Mechanical Engineering, Vienna University of Technology
- 2018 2021: Design Engineer at Watt Drive Antriebstechnik
- 2018 today: Member of TU Wien Racing
  - Chassis & Composite Department
  - Implicit and Explicit Simulations
  - Optistruct, Radioss and Inspire
  - Current project: Crashsimulation



Philipp Link Member of Composites – TU Wien Racing

E-Mail: <a href="mailto:philipp.link@racing.tuwien.ac.at">philipp.link@racing.tuwien.ac.at</a> LinkedIn: <a href="mailto:linkedin.com/in/philipp-link-5ba81619a">linkedin.com/in/philipp-link-5ba81619a</a>



# Wishbone Linear Buckling Analysis



- Fully laminated CFRP wishbones
- Implicit analysis with optistruct



- Stability failure
- Combination of linear static and linear buckling loadsteps





# **Buckling Problem**



- Initial simulation and prototyp wishbones
- Critical failure mode:
  - Compression
  - Buckling (Euler Case 2)

- New Prepreg Material
- New Ply Layup







# Development of Simulation Modell





### Loadsteps

- Linear Static Displacement, Stress, Strain
- Linear Buckling Theoretical buckling strength



# 



MSGLVL:

MAXSET:

SHFSCL

NORM:

MAX



STATSUB(B.

METHOD (S.,

DEFORM:

SUBCASE OPTIO ....

LABEL:

STATSUB (P ...

(1) EIGRL Buckling

la <Unspecified>

(Unspecified)

## Wishbone Linear Buckling Analysis

### CAD Modell:

- Wishbone
- Insert
- Balljoint



### **Composite Menu:**







# 

### Strain Gauge:

Maximum force of 10.000N



### **Physical Testing:**

- Detailed modelled in simulation
- Validation for simulation
- Calibration for strain gauges



### 

# Modelling





#### **Overall:**

- 2D shell elements
- 3D elements
  (Inserts & Stacked CFRP)
- Quads / Trias
- Second Order

#### **CFRP Laminate:**

- Mat 8
- PCOMPP
- Tsai-Wu

#### Inserts, Stacked, Testdevices:

- Mat 1 / Mat 9 Ort
- PSolid







# Modelling





# **Simulation Results**





Linear Static:

Composite Failure Index < 1

Investigation of buckling modes and Pcr Mode 5 (left), Mode 7 (right)



### 

# Validation



#	Simulation	Physical Testing			
Displacement (Load 10.000N) [mm]	0,739	0,801			
Stiffness [N/mm]	13.532	12.484			
Difference [%]	8,39				







Mode 2 (left), Mode 12 (right)

Local loss of stability

Local buckling modes



Global Buckling *Mode 1* 



# **ASM Front Left Suspension**



- Structural Analysis of ASM Front Suspension
  - Wishbone
  - **Steering Arm**
  - PullIrod
  - Upright





RBE2

### **Altair Student Webinar Series**

**Speaker Profile** 

- Studying Mechanical Engineering, Vienna University of Technology
- 2018 today: Member of TU Wien Racing
  - 2018 Member of Composites
  - 2018/19 Head of Chassis
  - Since 2019 Member of Chassis/Composites development
  - Current project: optimization of suspension pick up points



Martin MOLNÁR Member of Composites – TU Wien Racing

E-Mail: <u>martin.molnar@racing.tuwien.ac.at</u> LinkedIn: <u>www.linkedin.com/in/MartinMolnar3/</u>



### **Sandwich Structure – 3 Point Bending** calculation – analyses – physical testing



- Formula Student rules, SES (Structural Equivalency Spreadsheet):
  - Structural integrity
  - Equivalency
  - Safety











### **Sandwich Structure – 3 Point Bending** calculation – analyses – physical testing









# Sandwich Structure – 3 Point Bending calculation – analyses – physical testing



- Simplifying for the initial simulation
- $\rightarrow$  Sandwich structure modelled as PLY





# Sandwich Structure – 3 Point Bending calculation – analyses – physical testing



• Data for the simulation:

### Test setup:

• 275mmx500mm









- Data for the simulation:
  - Material data AGP 193 RC38: MAT8:

#### Ply Thickness: 0,19mm

E1	E2	G12	Nu12	RHO	Xt	Xc	Yt	<b>Үс</b>	S	GE	F12
[Mpa]	[Mpa]	[Mpa]	[1]	[kg/t]	[Mpa]	[Mpa]	[Mpa]	[Мра]	[Mpa]	[Mpa]	[Mpa]
66000	66000	4960	0,046	1,57e-07	769	844	1172	753	781	56	

Material data M21 E/34% UD134:

MAT8:

Ply Thickness: 0,13mm

E1	E2	G12	Nu12	RHO	Xt	Xc	Yt	<b>Үс</b>	S	GE	F12
[Mpa]	[Mpa]	[Mpa]	[1]	[kg/t]	[Mpa]	[Mpa]	[Mpa]	[Мра]	[Mpa]	[Mpa]	[Mpa]
178000	11800	5200	0,28	1,58e-07	3050	1500	56	200	95	56	

• Material data Al Honeycomb, 5056-3,6/0,025/14:

MAT8:

Core Thickness: 14mm

	E1 [Mpa]	E2 [Mpa]	G1Z [Mpa]	<b>G2Z</b> [Mpa]	G12 [Mpa]	Nu12 [1]	RHO [kg/t]	Xt [Mpa]	Xc [Mpa]	Yt [Mpa]	<b>Үс</b> [Мра]	S [Mpa]
	1	1	10	137	310,264	0,35	5,126e-11	1	1	1	1	1,2
ТЫШ/												





• Simulation/Tutorial:





# Sandwich Structure – 3 Point Bending calculation – analyses – physical testing



### Modelling the core specifically





Comparison of the different methods, physical testing and calculation:

#	Calculation	PLY Based	Modelled Core	Physical testing
Displacement [mm]	10,591	11,655	11,741	11,718
Stiffness [N/mm]	771,35	700,91	695,78	697,14
Difference to physical testing [%]	-10,641%	-0,541%	0,196%	=





🛆 ALTAIR

## Thank you **ALTAIR** !





# Q & A

- Drivetrain
- Contact Prop's
- Pretension definition

### Wishbone

- EIGRL Card
- Buckling Modes

### • Sandwich Structure

- Calculation
- PLY-Based Simulation
- Results

