



INSIGHTS NOW

Inspire. Innovate. Win.

Six Ways to Use Implicit Testing

Get better answers in a variety of applications using the Implicit/Explicit Test™: a unique, patented market research methodology from InsightsNow.

Read on to discover new and better ways to approach claims testing, build new products, perform effective message testing, evaluate concepts and more!



WHITE PAPER

Why Use The Implicit / Explicit Test™?

By studying implicit and explicit reactions in market research, researchers gather the insights needed to impact future consumer behavior—either by nudging current behaviors along or disrupting behavior to drive new choices.

The InsightsNow Implicit / Explicit Test™ is a patented approach to assess whether each person's reaction is implicit or explicit—and in which direction. It is unique in that it provides a calibrated classification for each person each time they complete the test. This gives you the ability to see the exact percentage of people who are reacting a certain way, allowing a quantifiable means to move forward in the best method possible with products, projects, and plans.

By digging into accurate insights regarding implicit reactions, researchers, product developers and marketers can make better decisions to monitor and set goals against behavioral change— and create realistically achievable metrics for all key stakeholders and for the supply chain.



We will explore six major applications of the Implicit/Explicit Test™ in this eBook:



1



**CLAIMS TESTING TO ACHIEVE BEHAVIORAL
IMPACT, P6**

2



**INGREDIENT SCORING TO BUILD CLEAN LABEL
PRODUCTS, P8**

3



**INGREDIENT BENEFIT ASSOCIATIONS FOR
FUNCTIONAL PRODUCT DESIGN, P10**

4



MESSAGE TESTING FOR MEDIA TARGETS, P12

5



**EMOTIONS TESTING TO UNDERSTAND
PRODUCT EXPERIENCE, P14**

6



**CONCEPT SCORING TO UNCOVER CATEGORY
LIFT, P16.**

How The Implicit / Explicit Test™ Works

Study participants begin with a calibration exercise. This exercise both familiarizes them with how the questions work, and identifies where to set a cut-off time to identify an implicit response from an explicit response for each participant. Then, priming prepares the brain to have associations and context at the ready to allow you to measure whether the association or memory is implicit or if the brain is working to solve an incongruity. Typical priming examples include storytelling, presentation of concepts, product experiences and videos.

After priming, researchers can use projection—this is typically used when the cues, associations or reactions you want to measure occur quickly or in a context where it is not possible for the person to complete the usual reaction test that takes place during the assessment. In the assessment stage, the primed question is shown and a series of words, phrases or pictures are flashed quickly. The participant simply selects the positive or negative response. The moment they make a selection, the next word appears.

THE IMPLICIT / EXPLICIT TEST™ PROCESS



CALIBRATION

Complete a series of tasks which assess how fast of a reaction is an implicit reaction.




PRIMING

Focus the brain on a topic/subject/memory /experience and provide the stimulus.



PROJECTION (IF NEEDED)

Project complex experiences (like emotions) to an object.



ASSESSMENT

Complete a series of assessment tasks for the stimulus or the projection of the stimulus.

How to Interpret Implicit Scores

The implicit behavioral score is a calculated score based on the speed (fast or slow response) and the positive or negative reaction from a study participant. The score can range from 0 to 100, where zero means the attribute is intuitively NOT experienced, and 100 means it is a completely intuitive connection. Scores above 50 mean there is a positive connection, and scores above 75 mean there is a strong positive implicit connection



Implicit Score Guide

100	<u>STRONG POSITIVE IMPLICIT CONNECTION</u>
90	
80	Target emotion should score 75 or higher.
70	<u>STRONG POSITIVE CONNECTION</u>
60	Supporting positive emotions should score 74-50.
50	
40	<u>STRONG NEGATIVE CONNECTION</u>
30	Scoring 49-25 for a negative emotion or target positive emotion indicates a problem.
20	<u>NEGATIVE EMOTIONS</u>
10	Emotions you want to avoid should score less than 25.
0	




Claims Testing to Achieve Behavioral Impact

The InsightsNow Implicit / Explicit Test™ is invaluable when making decisions on what claims to communicate about a product or service. With the test, you determine what claims are most relevant and impactful to your target consumers. The insights gained identify what moments of consumer use are most relevant for which claims, and also show which claims will nudge behavior and which will disrupt.

Recently, we tested different packing and shipping product claims to understand which claims were more relevant and disruptive. Three claim areas rose to the top during testing: Ease-of-Use, Protection and Sustainability. The participants were asked: “For each, indicate if that statement would be RELEVANT to you

Packing and Shipping Case Study

Relevance of Product Claim: Ease-of-Use















Highly relevant and disruptive



when considering a packing and shipping product. Please select YES or NO.” Below, we can see that the Ease-of-Use claim bundle has a high percentage of Fast Yes and Slow Yes responses— indicating these claims are not only perceived as more relevant, but also more disruptive.

The higher the percentage of slow responses, the more disruptive the claim’s association. A higher percentage of slow scores indicated more people had to stop and think about their answer—which means the claim made them take a short pause and give a more explicit, thought-out answer.

For this project, we used the summary of implicit behavioral scores from the implicit testing methodology to provide potential on-pack claim bundles for consideration. Shown below are some of the claims and claim combinations that could be chosen to drive desired consumer behavior, whether that is to nudge, both nudge and disrupt, or to disrupt behavior.


Packing and Shipping Case Study					
Combinations of Claims to Drive Consumer Behavior					
More Likely to NUDGE		Balance of NUDGE and DISRUPT		More Likely to DISRUPT	
Proven protection		Uniquely designed pattern interlocks upon itself, securing your item without the need for tape		All in One Solution – Cushion and Filler	
Fast and easy to use		A sustainable alternative to plastic cushion wrap		No scissors or tape needed. Just wrap, tear, pack and protect	
Expands for 20% more coverage than a 30ft roll of plastic cushion wrap		Expands for 20% more coverage than a 30ft roll of plastic cushion wrap		Superior protection with less material	
A sustainable alternative to plastic cushion wrap		Expands for proven protection		Made with 100% recycled paper	



Ingredient Scoring to Build Clean Label Products


What is the behavioral reaction to seeing an ingredient on a product label? Using The Implicit / Explicit Test™ allows you to compare and contrast consumer reactions to ingredients. Comparisons can be made for the overall score, or for any component.

The ingredient presented earns implicit scoring for fast and slow OKs and fast and slow AVOIDS, resulting in an overall (in this case) Ingredient Clean Label Score™. After presenting a number of ingredients, you can compare and contrast reaction scores to find the best ingredients to include and exclude in your product.



For example, InsightsNow conducted a study with our proprietary Clean Label Enthusiasts™ (CLE) research community—forward thinking consumers who care about the ingredients in foods. The study looked at a variety of plant-based burgers, using The Implicit / Explicit Test as a basis for the results—which gave insight into what consumers are looking for in plant-based burgers. On the next page, you see the Clean Label Ingredient Scores for different binders found in plant based burgers. These scores allowed product developers to identify ingredients which could be changed in order to improve the clean label perception for their products.

Ingredient Design for Clean Label



**BEYOND
BURGER®**

Ingredients: Water, Pea Protein Isolate, Expeller Pressed Canola Oil, Refined Coconut Oil, Rice Protein, Natural Flavors, Cocoa Butter, Mung Bean Protein, Methylcellulose, Potato Starch, Apple Extract, Salt, Potassium Chloride, Vinegar, Lemon Juice Concentrate, Sunflower Lecithin, Pomegranate Fruit Powder, Beet Juice Extract (for color).

**IMPOSSIBLE™
BURGER**

Ingredients: Water, Soy Protein Concentrate, Coconut Oil, Sunflower Oil, Natural Flavors, Potato Protein, Methylcellulose, Yeast Extract, Cultured Dextrose, Food Starch Modified, Soy Leghemoglobin, Salt, Soy Protein Isolate, Mixed Tocopherols (Vitamin E), Zinc Gluconate, Thiamine Hydrochloride (Vitamin B1), Sodium Ascorbate (Vitamin C), Niacin, Pyridoxine Hydrochloride (Vitamin B6), Riboflavin (Vitamin B2), Vitamin B12.






Ingredient Benefit Associations for Functional Product Design


For consumers, perception is reality. As more and more shoppers look to the back of package product labels to substantiate product claims, it is important to know which ingredients need to be implicitly associated with your claim or brand positioning—including knowing what to name ingredients. Benefit associations go beyond functional health and wellness claims to the broader set of claims associated with social benefits, sensory benefits and psychological benefits that lift and alter the state of mind.

In a benefit association project, we tested more than 100 pantry ingredients using The Implicit / Explicit Test™. We wanted to understand the benefits implicitly associated with each ingredient. In the figure at right, you see the associations between some common pantry ingredients and the benefit of promotes heart health. These overall implicit behavioral scores show which ingredients are more intuitively associated with heart health and which are not.



Pantry Ingredients Case Study

Ingredient Benefit Association Scoring Example



Interestingly, this study uncovered some key takeaways that consumers had some misconceptions about ingredient benefit associations— as they indicated that, for example, coconut oil (62%) and olive oil (82%) promoted heart health, but there is no scientific evidence for this.

This type of benefit association information allows marketers and developers to understand what benefits consumers intuitively believe they will receive when they notice that ingredient is on the label and in the product.



Message Testing for Media Targets

Message testing has some unique challenges in that different messages vary in word length and time to read. Therefore, the calibration of The Implicit / Explicit Test™ factors in the time it takes to read messages of different lengths. The Implicit / Explicit Test is well suited for message testing—it provides a gauge into how the message, and the respective media source, interact to achieve an implicit or explicit believability response. If a message is designed to nudge shoppers or consumers to take action, then the ideal message and media combination is one that elicits an implicit (fast) believability response. If the message is intended to disrupt, then the best is one that elicits a slow believability response.

In 2019 and in 2021, we ran a study looking at headline messages about sweeteners. This study examined the implicit believability among shoppers based on their inherent positive point of view for a sweetener (honey, agave, monk fruit and stevia) or negative point of view (aspartame, sucralose and sugar). Further, we wanted to understand the influence of media source on believability by different target audiences that have different levels of trust of the respective media sources. We tested 28 different headlines (positive or negative) about seven sweeteners from 14 different media sources. An example headline from “Google Scholar” media source for “honey” was as follows.

This study was run using our proprietary community of Clean Label Enthusiasts™, shoppers who make product choices heavily influenced by ingredients and regularly inspect labels. Between the study in 2019 and 2021, we saw change in implicit bias regarding headline believability.

Sweetener Message Testing Case Study
Sample Sweetner Headline Question



In reading information about sweeteners on the internet, would you believe
content from Google Scholar
with the headline: “Honey increases Blood Sugar Levels in Diabetics”

BELIEVE **NO**

Implicit Behavioral
Measures
% YES/NO and
Time to Respond

Approaching message testing with implicit testing will give you the full picture of perception, not only for headlines but also for media sources—giving marketing teams what they need to reach target markets effectively.

Sweetener Message Testing Case Study
Implicit Bias for about Sweetener Headline Believability





Emotions Testing to Understand Product Experience

Emotions are fast, fleeting and complex. Therefore, they are difficult to measure. Emotions are projected on objects such as products (like or dislike or enjoy a product), but also are projected on ourselves (to feel pride or shame) or projected on others (to feel hatred or admiration or anger toward someone) or entities that we have relationships with (to love a brand).


Emotions are easily associated with words, images and metaphors that are triggered by immediate experiences, recalled past experiences or even imagined future outcomes. For these reasons, a special class of implicit techniques using an indirect prime response is ideally suited to accurately measure emotions. This technique has the participant select an image as a metaphor for the fleeting emotion(s) felt and projected on a respective object (e.g. a product experience) in the context of an eliciting condition (e.g. expected experience outcome). This image is then scored on its associations to words describing feelings (e.g. enjoy, disappointed, surprised) using The Implicit / Explicit Test™.

Below you can see the steps of how we get to the implicit test from this visual metaphor approach.

Projective Steps for Assessing Emotional Impact

Relate their experience to a colorful contextual image

STEP 1: Select one image that best captures your overall thoughts and feelings about this fragrance



Explain why the image is a good metaphor


STEP 2: Why does this image capture your thoughts and feelings about this fragrance?



"It is different and calming with a feeling of warmth and satisfaction. Leaves you wanting more and feeling happy and satisfied."

Describe the image


STEP 3: Describe this image in as much detail as possible. Describe everything you see.



"Bird coming into the picture soaring above a beautiful backdrop with bursting colors of warmth and relaxation."

Implicit emotions test for the image

STEP 4: How does this image make you feel?



[INSERT]
Appropriate emotions from the list

YES

NO

Beyond Burger Emotions Testing Case Study
Discrete Emotions Scoring Example

Beyond Burger delivers a Calm, Relaxed and Satisfied experience like their current plant-based burger.

Beyond Burger is unique in its delivery of greater Happiness and Excitement.

More importantly Beyond Burger delivers much better on the subtle negative emotions which can hinder repeat purchase.

EMOTIONS SCORE SUMMARY N=81	CURRENT PRODUCT	BEYOND BURGER
Calm	68.2	72.8
Relaxed	66	64.8
Satisfied	59.9	66.7
Happy	57.4 B	73.8 A
Hopeful	55.2	60.8
Pleasantly Surprised	44.8 B	61.1 A
Energetic	41.7	48.5
Excited	40.1 B	58.6 A
Proud/Full of Pride	38.9	49.7
Relieved	36.4	44.4
Amused	31.8	42.6
In Love	28.4	36.7
Unsatisfied	25.0 A	13.9 B
Bored	24.4 A	10.2 B
Disappointed	23.5 A	9.6 B
Tired	20.4 A	8.0 B
Sad	17.3	10.2






Concept Scoring to Uncover Category Lift

The Implicit / Explicit Test™ is also very effective in early market research such as concept testing. The test allows you to understand the motivational drivers underlying category choice. This is particularly important during the concept stage where it is essential to know which concepts have the greatest potential disruptive impact and why.

For Tofurky, we used a combination of implicit techniques and related these metrics to what we call “category lift.” Lift is based on differences in choice for concepts designed to improve or replace an existing product in shelf sets against competitive sets. In this example, we helped Tofurky test three alternative packaging concepts and a control to identify which would generate the greatest emotional impact and perceived benefit while driving choice over category competitors.



We also used The Implicit / Explicit Test™ to measure implicit benefit associations, as shown in section three. This part of the study found that the Soft Tray concept was over delivering as compared to the Control for most of the anticipated benefits, in areas such as Healthy, Organic and Flavorful. Then the packaging concepts were compared to the whole category of both plant-based deli meats and other sliced deli meats.




Category Lift is measured through a Max/Diff approach, where sets of three alternative category choices are presented with a category shopper selecting the best and worst. During Pre-Category Max/Diff, you get a baseline consumer choices in the current category. This Pre-Category selection presented the Control Tofurky package design against the category to establish a baseline Max/Diff score.


Then participants completed the Post-Category Max/Diff exercise for one of the packaging alternatives replacing the Control (shown in the example on left). The difference in the resulting Max/Diff scores is the lift and forms a predictive measure of increase or decrease in category share for each package.

Tofurky Case Study
Testing Question for Pack Types

From these 3 deli slice products, please select the product that is the **most appealing** to you and the product that is the **least appealing** to you.





Please select one response in each column.

	Most Appealing	Least Appealing
 <p>Lightlife: Smart Deli Meatless Veggie Slices \$3.29 for 5.5 oz Available in: Ham, Turkey, Chickpea and Red Pepper, White Bean and Kale, Bologna, and Pepperoni</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
 <p>Tofurky Plant-Based Deli Slices \$3.99 for 5.5 oz Available in: Ham, Oven Roasted, Hickory, Smoked, Peppered, Roast Beef, Bologna, and Italian</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
 <p>Boar's Head \$9.00 for 8 oz Available in: Oven Roasted Turkey, Blackened Turkey, Cajun Smoked Turkey, Aloha Sunshine Turkey, Peppered Turkey, Hickory Smoked Turkey, Maple Glazed Turkey, Pastrami Seasoned Turkey, Smoked Ham, Bourbon Glazed Ham, Peppercorn Garlic Ham, Maple Glazed Ham, Pesto Parmesan Ham, Sweet Citrus Ham, Rosemary & Sun Dried Tomato Ham, Many different cuts of Beef, Teriyaki Chicken, Jerk Chicken, Chipotle Chicken, BBQ Chicken, Buffalo Chicken, Lemon Pepper Chicken, Maple Glazed Chicken, Madras Curry Chicken, Oven Roasted Chicken, Many Bolognas, Salsas, Prosciutto, and Pepperoni</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>



Category Lift, after adjusting for the Control, suggests that Tofurky could see up to a 58% increase in their current share depending on the concept they choose to develop for market. As shown below, the Soft Tray concept shows that it would have a great chance of succeeding with different in-store placements, and the Plastic Tub concept would give the greatest lift when placed in the deli products section.

Tofurky Case Study Category Lift Results

Current Product	Concept		Plant-Based Products Lift	Deli Products Lift
		Vertical Bag	+26%	+9%
		Soft Tray	+36%	+40%
		Tubber Ware	+7%	+58%

The Soft Tray shows greatest opportunity for retail shelf placement in either the plant-based alternative meat and deli/sandwich sections.

Tubber Ware shows largest lift opportunity for deli/sandwich.

By incorporating Category Lift into your research, along with The Implicit / Explicit Test, you gain additional insight into not only how concepts compare to each other, but which ones could earn their place in retailers against competitors in the category.

Implications for Market Research

The Implicit / Explicit Test™ has a wide range of applications, even beyond what we've explored here, to give you better, more actionable insights.

- Add deeper behavioral information to claims testing
- Explore ingredient reactions
- Uncover ingredient benefit associations
- Get the messaging just right

Adding implicit testing give researchers a greater depth of understanding, which translate into the right approach to product development or innovation, and marketing and messaging.

Brands can **nudge** current consumer behaviors and habits, or choose to **disrupt** behaviors, based on the information gathered using implicit testing techniques.



About InsightsNow

US-based, award-winning behavioral research and strategy firm InsightsNow partners with brands across industries, providing strategies for marketing, branding, and product development. Founded in 2003, InsightsNow offers custom, collaborative solutions and proprietary behavioral frameworks, providing expert guidance to uncover the right answers and improve success in the market. Clients include Campbell's, 3M, Kraft, Tofurky, Ocean Spray, IFF, Tyson and Bush's.



Call us: **541-757-1404**

Email us: **info@insightsnow.com**

www.insightsnow.com

